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ABSTRACT

Early identification of children with developmental and behavioral delays is important in primary care practice, and well-child visits provide an ideal opportunity to engage parents and perform periodic screening. Integration of this activity into office process and flow is necessary for making screening a routine and consistent part of primary care practice. In the North Carolina Assuring Better Child Health and Development Project, careful attention to and training for office process has resulted in a significant increase in screening rates to >70% of the designated well-child visits. The data from the project prompted a change in Medicaid policy, and screening is now statewide in primary practices that perform Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment examinations. Although there are features of the project that are unique to North Carolina, there are also elements that are transferable to any practice or state interested in integrating child development services into the medical home. Included here are lessons learned and a listing of practical tools for implementation.
E 

EARLY IDENTIFICATION of children with developmental delays is important in primary care practice. Primary care providers are generally the best-informed professionals with whom families have regular contact over the child’s first 5 years of life, and the well-child visit provides for periodic evaluation of a child’s development in relation to the child as a whole.

“About 16% of children have disabilities including speech and language delays, mental retardation, learning disabilities and emotional/behavioral problems; however only 30% of children with disabilities are detected before school entrance.”1 The prevalence of mental health problems is even higher among preschool children living in an environment of risk with estimates ranging from 17%–25%.2 Developmental screening and surveillance at well-child visits is recommended as a key component of preventive care for children.3 Screening is especially important as a way to facilitate early identification and referral for infants and children who need early intervention services.4,5 However, as evidenced by the finding that only 30% of children needing services are identified by school age, primary care physicians do not identify these needs in the course of well-child care, which underscores the need for systems in practice to integrate the use of formal, validated screening tools. In addition, the benefits of screening and surveillance are not limited to children with developmental and behavioral delays. Even for typically developing children, families benefit by increased awareness of appropriate developmental and behavioral expectations. Primary physicians benefit from using the screening discussion as a template for anticipatory guidance. However, there are definitely perceived barriers to screening in practice, including time, staff needed, and inadequate reimbursement.6 The cost of a practitioner administering a developmental screen with a child was estimated by Dobrez et al7 to be greater than $7 per member per month for 0- to 3-year-olds, (but $0 for parent-completed tools). Physicians often take a “wait-and-see” approach, leading to an inappropriately high threshold for referral. For some there is the mistaken idea that screening may cause parental anxiety. When these factors are combined with an unfamiliarity with community resources, effective developmental surveillance is limited.5

Nevertheless, multiple authors have underscored the need for improved developmental services in primary care.8,9 When the Promoting Healthy Development Survey was completed with almost 1500 families, the results revealed a significant need for improvement in family-centered care and anticipatory guidance (ie, in eliciting parental concerns about development, discussing concerns, and connecting families with resources) in the course of well-child care.10 Physicians themselves, when surveyed, also reflect this need for improvement. In 2003, Sices et al11 reported results from their survey mailed to a random national sample of pediatricians and family physicians. Only approximately half of them used a formal screening tool. There was great variability in screening and surveillance implementation in practices. The authors noted underuse of parent-completed tools. The 53rd American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Periodic Survey of Fellows12 revealed that 71% of fellows used only clinical observation without a screening instrument to identify children with a developmental delay. Only 23% reported using a standardized tool. Overall, 50% said they always or sometimes conducted the screening. Furthermore, screening is often not seen as needed for the general population of children. Many reported that they used a screen more often when the parent already expressed a concern or the child was already at higher risk (very low birth weight, prematurity, known syndrome, etc).5,12

Although the implementation of developmental screening is variable, it seems that parents desire these services and express greater satisfaction when they receive them. According to parent report from the National Survey on Early Childhood Health, only 57% of children aged 10 to 35 months ever received developmental screening by their primary care provider, but parents gave higher ratings for family-centeredness when screening did occur.13

The Assuring Better Child Health and Development (ABCD) Project was begun in North Carolina in August 2000, piloting formal developmental screening and surveillance for children receiving Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) services in pediatric and family practices. The project’s purpose was expressly to assist practices in implementing an office process for screening that would be efficient and practical, to promote early identification and referral, and to facilitate practice ability to link to early intervention and other community services. The goal was to establish a sustainable system for the entire state. The pilot began in 1 county and was replicated in 9 additional counties, and the process then became a statewide Medicaid policy in 2004. A formal screening (in most North Carolina practices, using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire [ASQ]14 or the Parents Evaluation of Developmental Status15) is performed at the 6-, 12-, and 18-month or 24-, 36-, 48-, and 60-month visits. In 2 counties, the results of every screen, with referral information, were entered into a database and tracked (>20 000 screens by 2004).

PROJECT OVERVIEW
In August 2000, North Carolina was 1 of 4 states to receive a grant from the Commonwealth Fund for the purpose of implementing a comprehensive and coordinated system to improve the delivery and financing of child development services. North Carolina developed a model for delivering child development services in local
communities using a 2-tiered approach: (1) a “best-practices” model for integrating developmental and behavioral screening and surveillance into primary care practice was developed with plans for replication; and (2) a group of key state leaders who had the capability of making policy changes was convened. Key objectives of the project were to:

- develop and implement a model program for children that encompasses their health, social, and developmental needs;
- help parents understand developmental milestones/behavior and ways to facilitate healthy development;
- identify and respond to provider concerns about developmental screening and surveillance in local communities;
- identify and respond to family concerns; and
- monitor and track the impact of the model by implementing an evaluative process.

CHALLENGES
The state and nation face a list of challenges when developing this type of model. Poverty increases the risk for developmental and psychosocial issues in young children. In North Carolina, 55% of the 1.9 million children are eligible for special health care programs or are uninsured. In addition, before initiation of the model across North Carolina Medicaid systems of care, children were getting screened, on average, only 15.3% of the time, and the number of children served by early intervention was low. A 1999 legislative study on early intervention services indicated that between 8% and 13% of the total population between birth and 3 years of age could qualify and benefit from early intervention services; however, only 2.6% were served.

At the same time, the AAP was recommending formal screening and surveillance at well-child visits, but the most common and familiar developmental screening tool (Denver Developmental Screening Test) was untenable in primary care practice.

INFRASTRUCTURE
The model builds on North Carolina’s “physician-driven” enhanced primary care case management program, Community Care of North Carolina. These community networks are designed to better manage services for the Medicaid population. Many of the networks have also expanded services to other populations such as those in the State Children’s Health Insurance Program and the uninsured. The heart of the program is community providers taking a population-based approach to managing enrollee care by systematically identifying patients-at-risk and then instituting the processes and supports that are needed to manage the care.

The North Carolina ABCD Project was, by design, a quality-improvement initiative, and it was piloted within the infrastructure of one of these networks called the Partnership for Health Management. At the time in August 2000, there were 9 networks with 114 practices in 37 counties. Currently, there are 14 networks with 1006 practices in 92 counties. Each network receives additional money ($2.50 per member per month) from Medicaid for providing care management staff to support the member practices in implementing disease management and preventive protocols (eg, asthma, diabetes, developmental and behavioral screening, reduction of emergency department usage). Each of the network practices also receives $2.50 per member per month for practice costs associated with instituting processes to better manage care.

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION IN THE OFFICE
A key characteristic of this project is that it was physician/practice driven. The process began with pediatricians exploring options for a screening process that would be compatible with a busy schedule, be satisfying to parents, optimize early identification of infants and toddlers who are at risk, and facilitate anticipatory guidance in promoting successful developmental outcomes. In choosing a screening tool, the factors that were considered include the type of screen, staff required, time, and cost. Parent-completed tools required little staff time, were not costly, and could fit nicely into the office flow. Most importantly, these tools engage parents as experts on their child and recognize the provider-parent partnership in the care of the child. In addition, there was a choice of a few such tools that met sensitivity and specificity (70%–80%), were available in other languages (particularly Spanish), and had fourth- to fifth-grade reading levels. The pilot practices chose the ASQ. Use of PDSA (“plan, do, study, act”) cycles allowed for real-time modifications that were based on qualitative measures such as provider and parent surveys. Progress in the rate of screening was measured quarterly by tabulating the number of completed screens over the number of well-child visits at the age intervals at which screening was to be done. Steady progress in the rate of screening was seen from quarter to quarter, because the practices were able to troubleshoot and improve flow procedures as experience was gained (see Fig 1). On the basis of the experience of the pilot and subsequent practices that joined the project, the following key steps for the office process have been identified:

- Assess current office protocols.
- Identify a physician champion to maintain the initiative as a priority.
- Select a screening tool.
- Map the workflow.
Identify system supports (networking with community partners is key here).

Conduct staff orientations; be sure to include nursing and office staff who will be instrumental in the office flow.

Share process and outcome data at regular intervals with staff.

The key steps in the process are applicable at both the practice and state level. Many practices already have processes for some type of developmental screening and anticipatory guidance. During the project, practices found that replacing their existing processes with the use of a standardized tool and protocol made the workflow more efficient. Because practices are faced with integrating numerous protocols, it is critical to identify a physician who can keep it a priority and provide feedback to staff. There are several developmental screening tools that meet sensitivity and specificity needs, so identifying how the tool works in the individual practice is important. A list and description of those tools is available at www.dbpeds.org/articles/detail.cfm?TextID=539. Planning for when the tool will be given to parents, who will score the tool, and other practice logistics should be outlined before initiating the process. It is important to involve key staff (to include, eg, front office and nursing staff) when mapping the workflow. A part of mapping the flow is identifying system supports that are both internal and external to the practice. For use with parents, age-specific and general developmental anticipatory guidance materials were designed and piloted. Through focus groups and surveys, parents assisted with the design of these materials. They were produced so that practices could personalize them and print quantities of them for little cost. Necessary system supports external to the practice include community partners such as Early Intervention, Child Services Coordination, and the Family Support Network. Opportunities for providers to have face-to-face meetings with their community partners are key. By networking in this way, logistics of communication and referral can be identified. Practices that were a part of the process helped to design a “Getting Started” worksheet, which is available on request.

NETWORKING FOR FACILITATION AND REPLICATION

At the state level, the process of collaboration starts with medical and nonmedical providers, in conjunction with families, developing a relationship focused on understanding their respective roles in caring for and treating children. A key success of developing this collaborative relationship has been the identification of a physician champion who actively participates on medical and nonmedical committees that serve children. For example, the physician for our project participates on the North Carolina Interagency Coordinating Council, the mission of which is to respond to the needs of children from birth to 5 years of age who have or are at risk for disabilities and their families. This council was established in response to Public Law 99-457, and the membership is comprised of staff from state agencies that serve children in addition to state representatives and families. This physician champion also leads the ABCD state advisory group, which is comprised of medical and nonmedical providers represented by private practice, public health, Early Intervention, Smart Start, public instruction, mental health, and Medicaid. The advisory board helped to remove bureaucratic barriers as the project was replicating.

This physician’s leadership in the medical community also extends to the Community Care of North Carolina quality-improvement committees, the state’s Medicaid physician advisory group, and the North Carolina Chapter of the AAP. As a result of these associations, children’s issues continue to be a top priority on the state’s official agenda.

FIGURE 1
Comparison of 1-, 2-, and 4-year-olds screened (years 2, 3, and 4 include 2 additional practices).
The physician champion met with community partners who serve children through early intervention to establish a communication system. For example, the developmental screening tools being used in the practices, the ASQ, Parents Evaluation of Developmental Status, and Infant Developmental Inventory, were selected not only because they are practical for primary care providers but also because these tools “speak the language” of early intervention around the 5 developmental domains.

The communication system was enhanced further by jointly marketing messages from the partners. The same language and logo (“Together We Grow”) are used on materials available to families and providers, which promotes name recognition, as well as learning. A provider curriculum on early intervention (ie, screening tools and services) was also developed in tandem with the state’s infant, toddler, and preschool programs and with parents, again in recognition of shared goals. Co-faculty for the physician trainings included early intervention staff, parents from the Family Support Network, physicians, staff from the Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Rural Health Development, and staff from the Division of Medical Assistance.

PROJECT IMPACT

Policy Change
Data from the initiative were shared with state agencies, which resulted in policy change. The first change occurred in 2003 and was made by the Division of Public Health, directing the local health departments to use standardized developmental screening tools in both community nursing and well-child clinics. Ongoing dialogue with the Division of Medical Assistance led to a policy change with the state EPSDT (Health Check) requirements in 2004. One of the many components of a complete EPSDT visit is developmental screening including mental, emotional, and behavioral. The new policy requires practices to use a formal, standardized developmental screening tool at 6, 12, 18, or 24 months and 3, 4, and 5 years of age. The medical chart should contain screening results, and the Current Procedural Terminology code 96110-EP should be on the claim form.

Replication and Spread
North Carolina initially replicated the model in practices within Community Care of North Carolina, because these physicians are interested in all types of quality improvement, and the networks have care managers. Providers who were not affiliated with a community care plan, however, expressed interest as well. Practices within networks and those who were not in networks indicated that they want the “how-to” or “key ingredients” for integrating screening and referral in their practice. An office resource guide was developed to guide practices through the process. In addition, a curriculum on the physician’s role in early intervention is being offered in local communities, and free continuing medical education is available to providers. It was developed as a collaborative effort with the North Carolina Chapter of the AAP, Academy of Family Physicians, Early Intervention, public health, and parents. The policy change facilitated replication statewide, and the project continues to be a resource to practices and the Medicaid program.

The ABCD model was also promoted to practices by Smart Start (state early readiness program), Health Check (EPSDT), and Medicaid’s managed care consultants.

Pearls and Tools
Those involved with the North Carolina project have been contacted by other states with an interest in establishing a similar initiative. Although there are features of the project that are unique to North Carolina, there are also elements that are transferable to any practice or state interested in integrating child development services into the medical home.

Pearls for a successful state project include:

- Identify a physician champion to lead project activity.
- Direct activity from a local level rather than at the state level.
- Pilot activity before replicating.
- Replicate activities after data are collected and shared.
- Develop policies for “best practices” in well-child care on the basis of the experience of local activity.
- Align goals with collaborating partners to help ensure active participation from partners.
- Identify care management resources in local communities to support the practice and family rather than hiring additional staff.

Practical tools for the practice and family developed by the ABCD Project include:

- office resource guide (research and how-tos for implementation in the office);
- curricula and workbooks (eligible for continuing medical education);
- anticipatory guidance by well-visit (available in English and Spanish; can be personalized to the practice);
- talking guides for clinicians (steps in discussing developmental screening with families);
- posters for the examination and waiting rooms (encourages families to request developmental screening and promotes awareness of early intervention); and
video and companion workbook for practice teams (rationale, billing, performance measurement, and a view into the office process).
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